FOR PUBLICATION

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN APPELLATE DIVISION

ROLAND SPELL and S/V "NICTE HA",)
Appellant,	D.C. Civ. App. No. 1998-025
V.) Re: Terr. Ct. S.C. No. 944/1997
A&J POWER SYSTEMS,)
Appellee.))

On Appeal from the Territorial Court of the Virgin Islands
Filed February 4, 2000

BEFORE: RAYMOND L. FINCH, Chief Judge, District Court of the Virgin Islands; THOMAS K. MOORE, Judge of the District Court of the Virgin Islands; and EDGAR D. ROSS, Territorial Court Judge, Division of St. Croix, Sitting by Designation.

ATTORNEY:

James M. Derr, Esq. St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.

Attorney for Appellant.

OPINION OF THE COURT

PER CURIAM.

When Appellee A&J Power Systems ["A&J Power"] installed a new generator in appellant Roland Spell's ["Spell"] sailboat, the "Nicte Ha," it presented him with an invoice in the amount of \$4,943.60. Spell had not received an estimate for the generator and sincerely believed that A&J Power was overcharging him for

A&J Power Sys. v. Spell Civ. App. No. 1998-025 Opinion of the Court Page 2

the work performed, so he wrote a \$2,500.00 check to the appellee and marked it, "full and final payment."

A&J Power crossed this language off Spell's check, deposited it, and then filed suit against the appellant for the unpaid balance in the Small Claims Division of the Territorial Court. (See App., Trial Tr., at 11.) After hearing testimony from the parties, the trial court ruled that A&J Power was entitled to the unpaid balance plus costs because Spell should have known that installation was not included in the cost of the generator. (See id. at 30-31 (concluding that appellee "appropriately did charge additionally for the labor to install and . . . did itemize everything that was done").) The court rendered judgment against the appellant in the amount of \$2,443.60, plus \$40.00 in court costs. Spell appeals this judgment.

DISCUSSION

This is a classic case of accord and satisfaction. The Appellate Division will exercise its jurisdiction under V.I. Code Ann. tit. 4, § 33, and reverse, directing the Territorial Court to enter judgment against the appellee, A&J Power.

The Virgin Islands Code contains no provision that controls this case. Section 281 of the Restatement (Second) of Contracts

⁽See App., Trial Tr., at 11; see also id. at 22 ("I felt that your word was your bond[,] and when you told me that you could install it for that price, I felt that was going to happen.") (statement of appellant).)

A&J Power Sys. v. Spell Civ. App. No. 1998-025 Opinion of the Court Page 3

provides, however, that a creditor who indorses and cashes a debtor's check prominently marked "payment in full" generally "has made an accord under which he has promised to accept payment of the check in satisfaction of the debt." See American Law Institute, Restatement (Second) of Contracts § 281 cmt. d (emphasis added). Payment under this accord terminates the previous contract. See id. § 281(a).

The trial court erred by not applying this provision to the undisputed facts of the case. "[I]n the absence of local laws to the contrary," the Restatements approved by the American Law Institute supply the common-law rules of decision to Virgin Islands courts. See 1 V.I.C. § 4.2 A&J Power indorsed and deposited Spell's check, which draft clearly bore the words, "full and final payment." The appellee's deposit of that check extinguished the contract under which it attempted to reserve its right to further payment, in favor of the new accord. See

RESTATEMENT (SECOND) OF CONTRACTS § 281 cmt. d ("The debtor generally cannot avoid the consequences of his exercise of dominion [over the draft] by a declaration that he does not assent to the condition attached by the debtor."); see also Lawton v.

This statute applies to all Virgin Islands courts, including the Small Claims Division of the Territorial Court. See 1 V.I.C. \S 4; see also Terr. Ct. R. 64 (recognizing that, unlike most rules of procedure and evidence, substantive laws apply to small claims proceedings).

A&J Power Sys. v. Spell Civ. App. No. 1998-025 Opinion of the Court Page 4

Philbrook, 10 V.I. 492, 494-95 (D.V.I. App. Div. 1974) (affirming trial court's conclusion that there was no accord or satisfaction because the creditor was not aware of the notation written on the The rule of accord and satisfaction laid down debtor's check). in the Restatement (Second) of Contracts has been embraced by the majority of American jurisdictions, including those within the Third Circuit. See, e.g., McMahon Food Corp. v. Burger Dairy Co., 103 F.3d 1307, 1313 (7th Cir. 1996) (applying Illinois law); Brunswick Corp. v. Levin, 276 A.2d 532, 534 (Pa. 1971); Potter v. Pacific Coast Lumber Co., 234 P.2d 16, 18 (Cal. 1951). For example, one trial court concluded that the plaintiff had created an accord and accepted an offer to settle its payment dispute with the defendant by negotiating a check that the defendant had marked, "final payment." See Occidental Chem. Corp. v. Environmental Liners, Inc., 859 F. Supp. 791, 792-93 (E.D. Pa. 1994). The same result attaches in this case.

When A&J Power accepted Spell's "full and final payment," it accepted the appellee's performance under a substitute accord and relinquished its right to pursue Spell for the amount allegedly owed. This accord was not unfair, unconscionable, or void for lack of consideration, so the trial court erred by failing to enforce it under the Restatement (Second) of Contracts. We will reverse and direct the trial court to enter judgment in the

A&J Power Sys. v. Spell Civ. App. No. 1998-025 Opinion of the Court Page 5
appellant's favor.
DATED this day of February, 2000.
ATTEST: ORINN ARNOLD Clerk of the Court
By:Deputy Clerk

FOR PUBLICATION

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS DIVISION OF ST. THOMAS AND ST. JOHN APPELLATE DIVISION

ROLAND SPELL and S/V "NICTE HA",)
Appellant,	D.C. Civ. App. No. 1998-025
V.) Re: Terr. Ct. S.C. No. 944/1997
A&J POWER SYSTEMS,))
Appellee.))

On Appeal from the Territorial Court of the Virgin Islands
Filed February 4, 2000

BEFORE: RAYMOND L. FINCH, Chief Judge, District Court of the Virgin Islands; THOMAS K. MOORE, Judge of the District Court of the Virgin Islands; and EDGAR D. ROSS, Territorial Court Judge, Division of St. Croix, Sitting by Designation.

ATTORNEY:

ORDER OF THE COURT

AND NOW, this 4th day of February, 2000, having considered the parties' submissions, and for the reasons set forth in the Court's accompanying Opinion of even date, it is hereby

ORDERED that the judgment entered below is **REVERSED**. It is further

ORDERED that the Territorial Court shall enter judgment in the appellant's favor.

ATTEST:

ORINN ARNOLD Clerk of the Court

Copies to:

Judges of the Appellate Panel Honorable Geoffrey W. Barnard Honorable Jeffrey L. Resnick Judges of the Territorial Court

James M. Derr, Esq., St. Thomas, U.S.V.I.

Jack Holmes, c/o A&J Power Systems, POB 320476, St. Thomas, U.S.V.I. 00801

St. Thomas law clerks

St. Croix law clerks

Ms. Nydia Hess

Mrs. Cicely Francis

Mrs. Kim Bonelli

J. S. Millard, Esq.

FOR PUBLICATION

C:\WINNT\Profiles\jose\Desktop\Joshua\APPCIOLD\98CI025B.SCC